

UNIVERSITY OF BRADFORD
DISASTER RESEARCH UNIT

SOME DEFINITIONS OF DISASTER

K.N. Westgate
P. O'Keefe

Occasional Paper No. 4.
June 1976

PREFACE

The Disaster Research Unit was formed in December 1973 and is a research group within the Project Planning Centre for Developing Countries at the University of Bradford. Unit members are:

James Lewis ARIBA, Senior Research Fellow and
Unit Leader,

Phil O'Keefe, B.A. (Hons), Research Fellow,

Kenneth Westgate, B.Sc.(Hons), M.Sc., Research
Assistant.

This series of Occasional Papers will contain the results of the Unit's work. An index of the Occasional Papers is included on the inside back cover.

Disaster Research Unit, University of Bradford, Bradford, Yorkshire,
BD7 1DP, England.

Bradford (0274) 33466.

ABBREVIATIONS CITED IN THE TEXT

CAFOD	-	Catholic Agency for Overseas Development
CRS	-	Catholic Relief Services
DEC	-	Disaster Emergency Committee
ECOSOC	-	United Nations Economic and Social Council
LRCS	-	League of Red Cross Societies
LWF	-	Lutheran World Federation
OXFAM	-	Oxford Committee for Famine Relief
UNDRO	-	United Nations, Office of the Disaster Relief Co-ordinator
UNESCO	-	United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organisation
USAID	-	United States Aid for International Development
WCC	-	World Council of Churches

CONTENTS

<u>SECTION</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	ORGANISATIONS INVOLVED IN DISASTER	3
3	ANALYSIS OF ORGANISATIONS PRODUCING DATA ON DISASTER OCCURRENCE	5
4	ANALYSIS OF THE DATA	13
5	DISASTER TRENDS	39
6	DISASTER - USE AND MISUSE OF AN EMOTIVE WORD	45
7	DISASTER - PROPOSED WORKING DEFINITIONS	61
	 BIBLIOGRAPHY	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>TABLE NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	UNESCO: NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY TYPE 1969	14
2	UNESCO: NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY REGION 1969	14
3	NATURAL DISASTERS OCCASIONING A MAJOR APPEAL ON THE PART OF THE LEAGUE OF RED CROSS SOCIETIES DURING 1961-70: DISASTERS BY TYPE	16
4	NATURAL DISASTERS OCCASIONING A MAJOR APPEAL ON THE PART OF THE LEAGUE OF RED CROSS SOCIETIES DURING 1961-70: DISASTERS BY REGION	16
5	DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO REGION 1919-71	18
6	DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO REGION 1951-71	18
7	DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO REGION 1968-71	18
8	DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO TYPE 1919-71	19
9	DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO TYPE 1951-71	19
10	DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO TYPE 1968-71	19
11	USAID: NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY REGION (1968-71)	22
12	USAID: NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY REGION (1968-71)	24
13	USAID: NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY TYPE (1968-71)	24
14	CATHOLIC RELIEF SERVICES: DISASTERS BY REGION, 1970-72	26
15	CATHOLIC RELIEF SERVICES: DISASTERS BY TYPE 1970-72	28
16	DISASTER EMERGENCY COMMITTEE APPEALS, 1966-74: DISASTERS BY REGION	28
17	DISASTER EMERGENCY COMMITTEE APPEALS, 1966-74: DISASTERS BY TYPE	28
18	SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION, CENTER FOR SHORT- LIVED PHENOMENA: SHORT-LIVED PHENOMENA, 1968-71	30
19	DISASTER OCCURRENCE BY REGION 1947-67	32
20	DISASTER OCCURRENCE BY TYPE 1947-67	32
21	NUMBER OF DISASTERS 1968-71	34

<u>TABLE NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
22	NUMBER OF LARGE-AREA DISASTERS BY REGION 1968-71	34
23	NUMBER OF LARGE-AREA DISASTERS BY TYPE 1968-71	34
24	DISASTER AVERAGE BY TYPE PER ANNUM	41

LIST OF DIAGRAMS

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	GLOBAL DISASTERS, 1947-93: 5-YEAR MOVING AVERAGE	40
2	NUMBER OF DISASTER STRIKES BY PER CAPITA INCOME OF DISASTER STRIKE AREA	44

1. INTRODUCTION

An overview of explicit and implicit disaster definitions reveals a lack of consensus. Diverse groups, organisations and individuals involved in the field of disaster must have terms of reference within which the question of what disaster means to them is posed. The answers to the question, in all probability, will be orientated towards that particular aspect of disaster with which the group, organisation or individual finds itself specifically involved. Also, the very nature of scientific explanation has, in the past, led to a restriction on the criteria upon which definitions of disaster are based. Natural disasters, considered to be essentially natural events, were considered to be the province of the natural sciences which tended to colour the responsibility for any study and prevention of disaster and the type and scope of preventive measures employed (Kates, forthcoming). Similarly:

'Varying definitions of disaster arise from the sociological structure of science and technology with its curious historical anomalies in the professional and disciplinary organisation of scientists and engineers. These disciplinary territories combined with an ideological bias towards neutral, non-human scientific study and abetted by the relative ease of some geophysical measurements leads to minor absurdities of observation and definition'.

(Kates, forthcoming)

This rigidity of scientific discipline and its historical responsibility towards disaster has led to a lack of consensus with regard to definitions of disaster, a situation which is exacerbated by the fragmentary nature of actual involvement in the study and application of disaster-related research.

It is not the intention of this paper to attempt to look for or impose the consensus which is obviously lacking. Rather, this paper will

attempt to identify common denominators from within disaster situations which will aid an explanation of the disaster process as an ongoing phenomenon. It would be myopic to attempt a definition of disaster per se while the disaster process, expressed in terms of a stream of interlocking events within which specific disaster is located as a part, is ignored as a primary pre-occupation of disaster research. This is not to dismiss any definition as irrelevant but rather to accept that such definitions would generally be static, describing isolated events. To view disaster dynamically it is necessary to consider not merely the disaster event but also the system of activity that surrounds it, namely prevention, mitigation, warning, (DISASTER), relief, rehabilitation and reconstruction. More importantly it means analysing in depth both the nature of the physical agent and the vulnerability of the population. The paper will attempt a survey of present definitions of disasters and analyse the trends in disaster occurrence. From this, workable definitions will be compiled which, it is hoped, will take full account of the true nature of disaster and its affects on populations.

2. ORGANISATIONS INVOLVED IN DISASTER

Data produced by these organisations point to the direction and approach to disaster that each one has. A survey of these data sources will demonstrate the range of adopted approaches. This data on disaster occurrence can be obtained from a wide number of sources, but it does not conform to a standard pattern, some sources containing more detail than others. Nevertheless, the types of data source available can be broken down into five distinct categories:

- a. International Organisations
- b. National Governments
- c. Non-governmental, voluntary organisations
- d. Academic research institutions
- e. Private insurance companies.

The first category is reserved solely for United Nations constituent organisations, chiefly the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), the United Nations Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC), and the United Nations Office of the Disaster Relief Co-ordinator (UNDRO). Among national governments, the only one consistently producing detailed figures is that of the United States (USAID).

Non-governmental organisations include those charities from whom assistance is available during times of disaster; for example, Catholic Relief Services (CRS), the League of Red Cross Societies (LRCS), the Lutheran World Federation (LWF), Oxfam and the World Council of Churches (WCC). The Disaster Emergency Committee (DEC), is a British-based organisation involved in overseas charity work. Its members are British Red Cross, Save the Children Fund, Christian Aid/Cafod, Oxfam and War on Want. The DEC provides data on disasters in which it is involved as a

relief operator, although the disparate nature of the organisation, purely a fund-raising, non-executive body, means that accurate information on relief activity of the members is not immediately accessible. There are many academic institutions, chiefly in the USA, involved in disaster research but only two of these can be said to have the international scope necessary for the production of data on world disaster occurrence - the Smithsonian Institution for Short-Lived Phenomena and the Natural Hazard Research Group based at the University of Colorado in the USA. Insurance companies tend to pool information and publish data periodically through such journals as the Swiss Reinsurance Quarterly Review.

3. ANALYSIS OF ORGANISATIONS PRODUCING DATA ON DISASTER OCCURRENCE

The identification of the various data-producing organisations leads to an examination of the terms of reference behind their specific involvement in disaster. These terms of reference lend integrity to the actual data because only when an organisation's role in disaster is understood can the value of the data be interpreted.

Among the international organisations (those under the aegis of the United Nations) the most important is UNDRO, if only for the reason that it was specifically set up to work in the field of disaster. UNDRO have produced some of the more detailed and accurate sets of data. The function of UNDRO:

'... is that of co-ordination and the mobilization of aid provided by other bodies ... to take action in disaster-prone and disaster-stricken countries both before and after a disaster strikes. It is concerned at all times with the prevention, control and prediction of disasters and with preparedness and contingency planning in disaster-prone countries; when disaster strikes, it is of course concerned with disaster relief itself'.

(UNDRO, 1973).

Undoubtedly, UNDRO's brief is the most broad and loose of any of the organisations. It can be placed among those organisations whose emphasis is on relief, but UNDRO is also concerned with alleviative measures such as prediction and precautionary strategies which are distinct from the most immediate, post-disaster precautions. UNDRO indicates the undesirability of a duplication of the work of, or restriction of, already established organisations. Indeed,

'... it has not been created either to limit or restrict the excellent work already being done by other bodies in connection with disasters, or, on the other hand, to duplicate such work. Its work is to act as a catalyst to stimulate others and to co-ordinate, and act as a link between the

many governments and institutions which are active ... in disaster situations'.

(UNDRO, 1973).

UNDRO, thus, has allied itself closely with relief activity.

UNESCO, on the other hand, is concerned more with long-term aspects of disaster prevention and has a distinct technological and scientific bias. Its role in disaster is to comprehend the natural phenomena involved - to study the disaster agent itself with the intention of future mitigation and, if possible, prevention. UNESCO, as a data source, reflects these objectives. The data is comprehensive and detailed in form and consists primarily as a

'... regular publication of a scientific record of natural disasters in the form of an annual summary of information and data'.

(UNESCO, 1970).

UNESCO sees its tasks as the provision of information in the form of a catalogue of (primarily) seismic events upon which researchers concerned with disaster prevention can draw. Detailed discussion and analysis on the disaster events covered, is minimal or non-existent.

The term 'disaster' is seen by UNESCO as necessary though naturally vague and all-embracing. The term is employed thus:

'The term "disaster" is given a rather broad interpretation. For instance, among the events ... recorded under "Earthquakes" and "Volcanic eruptions", there are many which, though producing visible or sensible effects, did not reach the full proportions of a "disaster". They have nevertheless been included for the light they may throw on the natural processes underlying seismic and volcanic phenomena'.

(UNESCO, 1970).

In summary, the UNESCO data deals

'... only with the scientific and technical aspects of natural disasters; information relating to rescue, relief or rehabilitation ...

(is not included)...'
(UNESCO, 1970).

ECOSOC produced data on disaster occurrence prior to and since the setting up of UNDRO in 1972. Since UNDRO's inception, ECOSOC, under whose jurisdiction UNDRO now is, only produces detailed accounts on the social, economic and political effects of individual major disasters such as Hurricane Fifi.

Among national organisations concerned with disaster, only USAID produces a significant amount of data. As far as sheer volume of data is concerned, USAID is the major source of information. It is primarily an aid organisation concentrating on development aid and development projects, of which emergency relief programmes in times of disaster are a part. USAID describes the nature of its emergency relief programme thus:

'Emergency relief operations are conducted to help the victims of disaster. They are supplemental to local country relief operations and do not supersede or take over the country's relief activities. However, it is recognised that in some countries there may be immediate need for emergency outside assistance due to the extent of social and economic disruption and the lack of local resources'.

(USAID, 1964)

USAID's role in disaster is that of relief with inputs of immediate aid being the prime effort. It goes to great lengths to define its role in disaster. For example, it describes a foreign disaster as:

'... an act of nature ... or an act of man ... which is or threatens to be of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant United States emergency relief assistance. The severity and magnitude of a foreign disaster is determined by taking into account the local resources available for alleviating hardship and suffering caused, and the extent of social or economic disruption'.

(USAID, 1964).

USAID, thus, give themselves a fair degree of flexibility in assessing

the relative needs of proposed recipients. It is in the nature of a definition such as this that the aid donor has the freedom to apply it as it wishes (Baird et al, 1975). Similarly, with the nature of disaster victims, the emergency disaster period and the relief itself, the same proposition holds.

'Disaster victims are persons who, because of natural or man-made disaster, are in need of emergency disaster relief'.

'Emergency disaster relief is aid which can be used to alleviate immediately the suffering of disaster victims, and which does not constitute a substantial, permanent contribution to the economy of the country'.

'The emergency disaster period is the interval of time, usually not exceeding sixty days, during which emergency disaster relief ... is needed ...'

(USAID, 1964).

USAID, therefore, is in a position to select the recipients of its assistance and this selection will be, because of the organisation's base, necessarily a somewhat political one.

The various voluntary, non-governmental organisations, because of their very international character, operate without such explicit political connotations, although the similarities in the nature of their role in disaster are manifestly obvious. Organisations like the League of Red Cross Societies state their case very clearly. Unlike USAID, the LRCS states that internationally help is available on request given the premise that it is the duty of the LRCS to alleviate human suffering. The LRCS effort thus concentrates

'... on emergency assistance during the period immediately after a disaster: provision of temporary accommodation, transportation of wounded, medical first aid, distribution of food, clothing and blankets, etc. But if the Society has the necessary resources, it may also undertake long-term rehabilitation programmes'.

(Rørholt, 1974).

The Catholic Relief Services, whilst applying much of its resources to development projects, gives top priority to prompt and effective response in times of overseas disasters and emergencies and other critical relief needs (CRS, 1974). This has a certain logic when seen in terms of the CRS goal of an integrated series of projects for a particular area given the disruption disaster may cause the projects.

The World Council of Churches acts as a relief organisation in a similar manner. Both CRS and WCC have an obvious religious base which means that involvement in disaster areas could be dictated by the religious affiliations of the indigenous population. It is because they are Christian organisations that they are concerned with fellowship and Christian compassion, '... in mutual aid and in service to those in need' (WCC, 1973). Nevertheless, attitudes to disaster are similar to other relief organisations:

'Although originally conceived of as an emergency operation to help prisoners of war, refugees and other victims of World War II, this service to the distressed, wherever they may be, is now recognised as a permanent obligation of the Churches'.
(WCC, 1973).

Academic organisations concerned with disaster can be divided into two types, namely, those simply recording disaster data and those analysing the data. An example of the first is the Smithsonian Institution Center for Short-Lived Phenomena, whose emphasis is on the dissemination of information and, like UNESCO, to act as a clearing-house in order to maintain a

'... global environmental alert system for rapid communication of scientific information on short-lived phenomena'.
(Smithsonian Institution, Center
for Short-Lived Phenomena, 1971)

Short-lived phenomena include, in this context, any event involving the natural or physical sciences which has a short time-span of existence.

As well as including earthquakes, volcanoes, and tropical storms, the Center would also include many other phenomena such as snail infestations, animal and bird mortalities, toxic gas emissions, etc. Essentially, the Center

'... monitors the natural global environment and was established to improve opportunities for research by providing an organisation whose function is to quickly collect and disseminate information on short-lived events while environmental changes are still occurring and to improve the exchange of scientific information through the development of an effective global communications system'.

(Smithsonian Institution, Center for Short-Lived Phenomena, 1971)

The contrasting type of academic organisation which analyses the data is that of the Natural Hazard Research Group now emanating from the University of Colorado. The approach of Natural Hazard Research

'... has been concerned mainly with natural hazards and with the long-run pattern of human response and adjustment. The immediate post-impact situation is of lesser interest than the alternatives available for reducing damage from future occurrences'.

(Hewitt and Burton, 1971).

The definition of a natural hazard is taken to be

'... a function both of the physical event itself and of the state of human society, including specifically the adjustments adopted to cope with the hazard and the state of preparedness'.

(Hewitt and Burton, 1971).

The ongoing research programme carried out by Natural Hazard Research has led them to undertake surveys of natural hazard types throughout the world in order to obtain the more significant natural hazard types and vulnerable locations leading to a more systematic approach to the research programme.

Insurance companies are concerned with disaster from a commercial point of view and produce data based upon claims on their funds by people who

have suffered a disaster strike. Disaster phenomena are often referred to as 'Acts of God or man'.

It is possible to summarise this discussion as follows:

1. Data on disaster occurrence is available from a variety of sources, from organisations within the United Nations, from national organisations (primarily in the USA), from various non-governmental, voluntary, international organisations, from academic institutions and from insurance companies.
2. Underlying the data produced by these sources are more explicit terms of reference which include implicit definitions of disaster and the role of an organisation in disaster situations.
3. UNDRO and the non-governmental organisations, whose emphasis is on relief and short-term precautionary strategies, implicitly recognize disaster as involving not only the physical phenomena but also a population and its infrastructure in that these organisations operate on calls for help from these populations. UNDRO, whilst not departing from this implicit reference, has, of necessity, the broader terms of reference in that it is a co-ordinating body and a catalyst between the non-governmental relief organisations.
4. UNESCO and the Smithsonian Institution Center for Short-Lived Phenomena concentrate on the physical phenomenon and produce catalogues of information for scientists. The Center for Short-Lived Phenomena excludes the more long-term disaster agents and short-term agents are included among a wide variety of environmental events. UNESCO uses the word 'disaster' to describe all the events it lists although many of them occur in areas devoid of population, and concentrates on seismic and volcanic phenomena.

5. USAID has terms of reference which are broad and give it flexibility of choice in its aid donations. It again recognizes the inclusion of population and infrastructure in the term 'disaster'.
6. The Natural Hazard Research group recognizes disaster (or hazard in this case) as a function of the physical event and the social state. It concentrated on the range of responses and adjustments that populations devise to alleviate the hazard and only lately on the actual disaster situation and its immediate aftermath.
7. Insurance companies' interest in disaster is of necessity through disaster insurance and the claims made upon it.
8. The survey of data institutions makes apparent the following aspects of disaster:
 - a. Disaster can refer to the physical agent.
 - b. Disaster can refer to the combination of a physical agent and a population and its infrastructure.
 - c. Disaster can refer to the more complex human condition of which the effects of the strike of a hazardous physical phenomenon is merely a symptom.
 - d. Disaster can be a function of a physical event and a social state.

4. ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

The preceding section outlined the definitions and terms of reference of various institutions and organisations supplying data pertaining to disaster occurrence. It is now necessary to consider the actual data on disaster occurrence produced by these organisations. Not only is disaster occurrence itself an important pointer to the bias of a particular organisation, but also the spatial distribution of this occurrence and the disaster agent involved in the occurrence.

International Organisations

1. UNESCO provide an annual summary of information on natural disasters. Taking 1969 as an example of these summaries, 759 disasters are recorded by UNESCO for that year. This figure may seem exceptionally high, particularly as it applies only to the natural hazards of earthquake, tsunami, storm surge and volcano. Of all the data sources on disaster occurrence, UNESCO figures are far larger than any other. They not only include the continental land masses but also oceanic and the Arctic and Antarctic areas. Table 1 shows the designation of disasters by type, while Table 2 indicates the spatial distribution of these disaster occurrences. The UNESCO data remains true to its definition of its role as the provision of scientific data without analysis. to UNESCO, the term 'disaster' applies to the actual physical event which in this case concentrates on geographical phenomena. Those 'disasters' which affect a population or infrastructural system are recorded as destructive phenomena and among the 759 items recorded in 1969 as 'disasters', only 12 were given the status of destructive. It is interesting to note that none of these twelve 'destructive' events resulted in a major disaster appeal.

In summary, the UNESCO data provides a list for scientists of extreme

Table 1UNESCO : NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY TYPE, 1969

Earthquake	722
Tsunami	5
Storm surge	7
Volcano	<u>25</u>
TOTAL	<u>£750</u>

(UNESCO, 1971)

Table 2UNESCO : NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY REGION, 1969

Pacific	467
Atlantic	68
Mediterranean	67
Continental Africa, Indian Ocean, Australia	63
Asiatic ranges	61
Continental Europe	17
Antarctica	1
Other	<u>15</u>
TOTAL	<u>£759</u>

(UNESCO, 1971)

physical phenomena occurring during a particular year and these phenomena are referred to as disasters except in cases where the phenomena impinge on human settlement and infrastructure when they are listed as destructive phenomena. The disasters listed are purely geophysical - earthquake, volcano, tsunami and sea-surge - there being an absence of data pertaining to climatological phenomena - hurricane, tornado, flood, etc. The summaries containing the information are available from 1966.

2. ECOSOC, prior to the inception of UNDRO in 1972, published data on disaster occurrence between 1961-1970. The basis for the data is the number of times that the LRCS issued a major appeal; the data is, thus, based on second-hand, limited information. The data is concerned with what the LRCS consider to be a disaster. Compared with the UNESCO data, however, the definition of role on which the data is based contains an important orientation - the data here refers to the effect that physical phenomena have had upon a population and its infrastructure. A wider range of disaster types appears (Table 3) and the spatial distribution is allied to the major continental land masses with the emphasis firmly placed upon the three continents of Asia, Africa and Latin America, which can be said to contain the major areas of underdevelopment and high population density (Table 4). ECOSOC data, although based upon secondary sources, contains a distinctly different approach to disaster compared to that of UNESCO in that, by definition, disaster occurrence presupposes the presence of populations and their associated infrastructure.

3. UNDRO, the third UN source of information, was never intended to be a relief agency as such but, as its name suggests, to co-ordinate the relief agency activity. Like the ECOSOC data, UNDRO's data was created out of other sources. On behalf of UNDRO, Stanassis, seconded to UNDRO

Table 3

NATURAL DISASTERS OCCASIONING A MAJOR APPEAL ON THE
PART OF THE LEAGUE OF RED CROSS SOCIETIES DURING
1961 - 1970 : DISASTER BY TYPE

Hurricane	18
Flood	58
Earthquake	19
Volcanic Eruption	3
Drought/Famine	8
Epidemic	<u>6</u>
TOTAL	<u>£112</u>

(ECOSOC, 1971)

Table 4

NATURAL DISASTERS OCCASIONING A MAJOR APPEAL ON THE
PART OF THE LEAGUE OF RED CROSS SOCIETIES DURING
1961 - 1970 : DISASTERS BY REGION

Asia	46
Africa	22
Middle East	20
Europe	13
Americas	<u>11</u>
TOTAL	<u>£112</u>

(ECOSOC, 1971)

from the LRCS, collected data for the years 1919-1971, on the occurrence of natural and man-made disasters based on LRCS information. This analysis was prepared as

'... a tentative analysis of recorded major disasters which occurred since 1919 and for which assistance was necessary and provided by the international community'.

(Stanissis, 1972)

The data is similar in type to that of ECOSOC, although it applied to a much longer time period. Setting aside those disasters arising from what Stanissis describes as

'... the advancement of civilization and man-made situations',

(Stanissis, 1972)

and concentrating on the natural disasters within the analysis, Table 5 shows disasters ranked according to region. It can be seen that again Asia predominates but curiously Africa does not, while the Americas and Europe figure more prominently than in the ECOSOC data. If the time span is shortened, a different perspective emerges. Taking the years 1951-1971, it can be seen that Europe is no longer in such a prominent position, although Africa is last (Table 6). Shortening the span even further to the years 1968-71 brings Asia, the Middle East and Africa to dominate, leaving Europe and the Americas, so prominent over the long period, appearing last (Table 7).

If disaster type is taken as a criterion for analysis and the same time-periods are observed, the mean occurrence of each disaster type increases with the increasing prominence of the more underdeveloped continents. The greatest increases in mean occurrence, on the whole, are with those disaster types which are frequent in underdeveloped countries (Tables 8, 9 and 10).

Table 5DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO REGION, 1919-71

Asia	89	
Europe	49	
Americas	42	
Middle East	38	
Africa	<u>33</u>	
TOTAL	<u>251</u>	Mean = 4.8 disasters per annum

(Stanissis, 1972)

Table 6DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO REGION, 1951-71

Asia	77	
Middle East	38	
Americas	35	
Europe	35	
Africa	<u>29</u>	
TOTAL	<u>214</u>	Mean = 10.2 disasters per annum

(Stanissis, 1972)

Table 7DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO REGION, 1968-71

Asia	24	
Middle East	10	
Africa	9	
Americas	6	
Europe	<u>3</u>	
TOTAL	<u>52</u>	Mean = 13 disasters per annum

(Stanissis, 1972)

Table 8DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO TYPE, 1919-71

Floods	139	$\bar{m} = 2.7$ per annum
Earthquakes	68	$\bar{m} = 1.3$ per annum
Cyclones	32	$\bar{m} = 0.6$ per annum
Famine	13	$\bar{m} = 0.25$ per annum
Epidemic	10	$\bar{m} = 0.2$ per annum
Drought	7	$\bar{m} = 0.1$ per annum
Volcano	3	$\bar{m} = 0.06$ per annum
TOTAL	<u>272</u>	

(Stanissis, 1972)

Table 9DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO TYPE, 1951-71

Floods	127	$\bar{m} = 6.0$ per annum
Earthquake	43	$\bar{m} = 2.0$ per annum
Cyclones	25	$\bar{m} = 1.2$ per annum
Epidemic	9	$\bar{m} = 0.4$ per annum
Famine	8	$\bar{m} = 0.4$ per annum
Drought	7	$\bar{m} = 0.3$ per annum
Volcano	3	$\bar{m} = 0.14$ per annum
TOTAL	<u>222</u>	

(Stanissis, 1972)

Table 10DISASTERS RANKED ACCORDING TO TYPE, 1968-71

Floods	27	$\bar{m} = 6.75$ per annum
Earthquakes	8	$\bar{m} = 2.0$ per annum
Cyclones	7	$\bar{m} = 1.75$ per annum
Drought	5	$\bar{m} = 1.25$ per annum
Epidemics	2	$\bar{m} = 0.5$ per annum
Famine	2	$\bar{m} = 0.5$ per annum
Volcano	1	$\bar{m} = 0.25$ per annum
TOTAL	<u>52</u>	

(Stanissis, 1972)

UNDRO has undertaken a most comprehensive information survey underlying which is a definition of disaster that includes the disaster agent and its effects on populations and their associated infrastructure. Unlike the data produced by ECOSOC, UNDRO's data covers a longer period and can be broken down into shorter time spans to observe trends which implicitly underline disaster occurrence. UNDRO, thus, begins to show the broader aspects of what disaster involves, with the emergence of specific areas and disaster types into greater prominence as the time period evolves.

National Organisations

It has been found that USAID has defined its role in relation to disaster relief in a very flexible way, thus allowing itself to be involved in any disaster situation in which it cares to be involved. The data produced by USAID comes in three forms:

1. Case reports on each disaster situation with which it is involved.
2. An annual summary of foreign disaster emergency relief which has been published since 1960.
3. A disaster file computer printout.

The data is generally more comprehensive than that produced by the United Nations organisations in that its concern is not merely with disaster occurrence and disaster type, but also with the provision of information on the number of people killed, the estimated number of victims, the total of United States assistance, reported assistance from other nations and reported assistance from within the country suffering the disaster. The cost of a particular disaster, though not stated, can often be determined from the text of the reports.

A brief glance at the USAID data regarding regional distribution reveals a substantial difference in orientation compared with the data produced by the United Nations. Whereas Asia again is prominent, Latin America figures greatly in the data and Europe is only nominally present (Table 11).

The reasons for this discrepancy quite reasonably can be found in the USAID definition and approach to disaster. Whereas the international relief organisations and agencies are committed by their terms of reference to calls for help from anywhere in the international community, USAID, being a national organisation, obviously has to have an awareness of national interest and policy. If USAID relief activity in Latin America is considered in the light of the enormous USA investments involved in Latin American countries, it can be quite clearly seen that an explanation of the orientation of USAID data is not difficult to find (Baird et al, 1975). It can be argued that relief aid backs development aid which, of itself, tends to preserve American presence.

USAID is a development agency and, as such, tends to invest in those areas which will give most return - emergency relief aid is of secondary importance. In allowing itself the scope for freedom of choice in its very definition of the various aspects of disaster relief, USAID emergency relief aid inputs are obviously likely to be greatest where the USA has most to lose politically and economically from disaster. This is reflected in the data.

The USAID parallel data system, the Disaster File Computer Printout, has the same regional orientation as the standard data source. The Disaster File is an attempt to synthesize information about USAID relief activity. Strangely, the computer printout lists ninety fewer disasters

Table 11USAID : NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY REGION, 1968-71

Asia	68 *
Latin America	64
Africa	55
Europe	<u>7</u>
TOTAL	<u>194</u>

* Includes Middle East

(USAID, 1973)

than the standard data source for the same four-year period. Nevertheless, the Americas and Asia carry almost 75% of the total, the only difference being that the Americas head the list (Table 12).

Data on disaster type produced by the Disaster File Computer Printout compares favourably with that produced by the United Nations Organisations with floods and hurricanes or cyclones providing the major emphasis (Table 13).

The USAID data is comprehensive and detailed. Inevitably, because of the vague definitions of its role in disaster, USAID is able to contribute emergency relief aid to those locations of its choice. This leads to a regional distribution of disaster occurrence with a different orientation from that contained in the data available from the United Nations organisations. Basic to an understanding of this difference is an assessment of those areas carrying the greatest load of American overseas investment as well as a comprehension of the American political commitment. Because USAID is concerned with American foreign policy, no mention is made of disasters within the United States.

Non-governmental Organisations

The definitions of role which underlie the data produced by non-governmental agencies are necessarily similar to the definitions adopted by UNDRO. The provision of emergency relief aid amongst the international community leads to terms of reference which accept disasters as being some combination of a physical agent and a population and its associated infrastructure.

Data from these organisations exists in a variety of sources. It has already been seen that information from the LRCS has been used by ECOSOC.

Table 12USAID : NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY REGION, 1968-71

Americas	41
Asia	32
Africa	19
Europe	7
Middle East	<u>5</u>
TOTAL	<u>104</u>

(USAID, 1974)

Table 13USAID : NUMBER OF DISASTERS BY TYPE, 1968-71

Floods	41
Hurricanes	24
Earthquakes	19
Drought/famine	18
Volcanic eruption	<u>2</u>
TOTAL	<u>104</u>

(USAID, 1974)

Among the non-governmental organisations themselves, data is usually produced by the organisations working together and pooling their information. Thus CRS produce data based on the provision of assistance not only by themselves, but also by the LRCS, the LWF, Oxfam and the WCC. The inclusion of Oxfam within this group can imply the inclusion of other British charities - British Red Cross, the Save the Children Fund, Christian Aid/CAFOD and War on Want - because these charities come under the general administration of the Disaster Emergency Committee. Also DEC information is based on figures supplied by these five British charities.

The CRS data covers a two-year period, 1970-72, and has the highest incidence of disaster occurrence per annum than any other data source (Table 14). The CRS data tends to reveal the same regional ranking as that produced by USAID. This may seem surprising but it nevertheless reflects the religious credo of CRS dealing with Catholic countries particularly in Latin America. CRS as compilers of the data obviously show a bias in the direction of Catholic countries and, as it is an American organisation, it may also reflect spheres of American influence. Certainly the figures do not show the same interest statistically as USAID in Latin America and the presence of the data from the LRCS acts as a moderating influence.

The point to remember is that although these non-governmental organisations reflect the basis of their existence, they are nevertheless more in touch with the 'grass root' base, often drawing their volunteers from that base, and so tend to reflect more accurately the current situation regarding disaster occurrence. Thus, despite the concentration of Christian, underdeveloped countries, the figures can be assumed to be more reliable than other sources when listing the number of disasters that have occurred.

Table 14CATHOLIC RELIEF SERVICES : DISASTER BY REGION
1970-72

Asia	45
Latin America	31
Africa	31
Europe	<u>3</u>
TOTAL	<u>110</u>

(Catholic Relief Services, 1974)

The figures on disaster occurrence by type generally parallel the other sources of data (Table 15).

The Disaster Emergency Committee in Great Britain, consisting of Oxfam, British Red Cross, War on Want, Christian Aid/CAFOD and the Save the Children Fund, act solely as a fund-raising body co-ordinating the financial efforts of the five charities in an appeal. The concern with fund-raising means the figures on the number of disasters with which the DEC is involved are necessarily small because over-exposure of appeals would lead, if not to an actual decrease in income from appeals, at least to small contributions per disaster occurrence. The data is limited and the relative disinterest in such long-term phenomena such as drought emanates from the need to mirror the humanitarian consequences of disaster which are often more evident from situations involving the more instantaneous natural or man-made agents (Tables 16 and 17).

Academic Organisations

1. The Smithsonian Institution for Short-Lived Phenomena true to its definition of role, concerns itself with the more instantaneous phenomena and, like UNESCO, provides an information service monitoring the environment for scientists. Its information amounts to pure descriptions of environmental events and what might be termed disasters are only included among a number of items of interest primarily to physical and life scientists. Again, like UNESCO, the information has as its emphasis the scientific data on impact rather than the effects of the disaster agent on populations and their associated infrastructure.

The Smithsonian Institution is American-based and the data tends to emanate from the American continent. The data is thus limited.

Table 15CATHOLIC RELIEF SERVICES: DISASTER BY TYPE, 1970-72

Flood	51
Drought	34
Hurricane	15
Earthquake	7
Volcanic eruption	3
TOTAL	<u>110</u>

(Catholic Relief Services, 1974)

Table 16DISASTER EMERGENCY COMMITTEE APPEALS: 1966-74
DISASTER BY REGION

Middle East	4
Asia	3
Americas	3
Africa	3
Europe	2
TOTAL	<u>15</u>

Table 17DISASTER EMERGENCY COMMITTEE APPEALS: 1966-74
DISASTERS BY TYPE

Earthquake	6
Conflict	4
Floods	2
Cyclone	2
Drought	1
TOTAL	<u>15</u>

Spatially, it is not possible for an easy breakdown of disaster occurrence and in any case this would show an enormous bias towards America in particular and Western, more-developed countries in general. The distortion of the disaster situation in the world can be seen by observing the Smithsonian data on disaster type and comparing it with other data already discussed (Table 18). The small number of floods should serve as an indicator of this distortion.

2. The data produced by the Natural Hazard Research Group is undoubtedly the best information available from a research institution and a major source for data from any organisation providing its limitations are taken into account.

The intention behind the production of the data is to further the work of the Natural Hazard Research Group in a more practical way. The surveys of natural hazards on a world scale and the trends within these are considered to be worthwhile because they show regulations and variations which may not be apparent on a more micro, localised scale, as well as leading to empirical generalisations which may promote more detailed research.

The logos behind the surveys is clear:

'... the needs of countries such as Iran, Bangladesh or Tanzania for reduction and alleviation of suffering from natural hazards at all levels, is much greater than in most of North America or Western Europe. We need to investigate the extent to which solutions in the latter areas are applicable to the more vulnerable nations. We need to test and evaluate the theoretical generality behind local solutions to hazard problems in Western countries. In turning to overseas areas and different cultures we need to explore the aggregate picture to define some of the broad differences or similarities of which our research designs should take account'.

(Sheehan and Hewitt, 1969)

Table 18SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTION CENTER FOR SHORT-LIVED PHENOMENA:
SHORT-LIVED PHENOMENA, 1968-71

Volcanic eruption	71
Major earthquakes	86
Major floods, etc.	<u>11</u>
TOTAL	<u>168</u>

(Smithsonian Institutions Center for Short-Lived
Phenomena, 1972).

For the first time in this review of data sources, an organisation has broadened its definition of disaster to cater for comparative vulnerability of regions. The very nature of the Natural Hazard Research Group's approach to disaster allows for this. With their concentration on hazard potential, with its implications of an extension of everyday existence rather than disaster as such, the factor of vulnerability to a hazard is created. They then go further by contesting that the less developed world is consequently more vulnerable.

Initially, the global survey covered the years from 1947 to 1967 and for the purposes of the survey a major disaster was defined as satisfying one or more of the following conditions:

- a. at least \$1,000,000 damage
- b. at least 100 persons dead
- c. at least 100 persons injured.

The material for the data survey was collected from a variety of sources, the most important being:

- a. New York Times Index
- b. Encyclopaedia Britannica Year Book
- c. Collier's Encyclopaedia Year Book
- d. The American People's Encyclopaedia Year Book
- e. Keesing's Contemporary Archives.

The authors agree that their sources of information show a distinct bias towards North America while large areas of the world, including the Soviet bloc, large parts of Africa and Communist China are not represented. The actual data reveals this trend (Table 19). The criterion adopted for this regional analysis was the 10° grid square; thus, each disaster represented occurred within the confines of one 10° square. One disaster type entering more than one 10° square has been recorded as two disasters

Table 19DISASTER OCCURRENCE BY REGION, 1947-1967

North America	46
Asia	38
Latin America	13
Caribbean	6
Europe	5
Africa	4
Australia	2
TOTAL	<u>114</u>

(Sheehan & Hewitt, 1969)

Table 20DISASTER OCCURRENCE BY TYPE, 1947-1967

Typhoon, hurricane, cyclone	44
Floods	35
Coldwave	7
Heatwave	6
Storms	6
Tornado	5
Blizzard	4
Earthquake	3
Tidal wave	1
Dust	1
Hail	1
TOTAL	<u>114</u>

(Sheehan & Hewitt, 1969)

by this method. Table 20 delimits disaster occurrence by type and reveals the importance of the two major disaster types which affect North America and Asia (floods and hurricanes, typhoons or cyclones), thus corresponding with the figures for disaster occurrence by region.

Dworkin has updated this initial survey to 1973 and attempted to analyse the trends observable over the twenty-seven year period (Dworkin, 1974). To this end, disasters (those occupying less than one 10^6 square) and large-area disasters (those occupying more than one 10^6 square) are differentiated and subsequently compared, and an analysis of the years 1968-71 reveals that all disasters per annum are on a relative decrease (Table 21). But the analysis of large-area disasters indicates a rise in the four-year period of the number occurring in Asia as against the USA which still figures high on the list because of the bias of the source material (Table 22). For the same large-area disasters, disasters by type reveal a similar emphasis for the four-year period with the pre-dominance of hurricane, typhoon and cyclone, followed by floods, (Table 23).

The Natural Hazard Research Group's analysis of disaster occurrence is somewhat limited by the sources utilised. This reflects the importance of the USA as against the more underdeveloped continents. The data is based on a simplified definition of disaster involving the number of deaths, injuries and the amount of dollar damage. This definition was necessary to the work of the Natural Hazard Research Group because some common denominator was required for the sources used and as this was primarily journalistic data, so a more journalistic definition was employed to gain continuity. The Natural Hazard data, along with that of UNDRO and USAID, is among the most comprehensive data available although it reflects its American bias in both area and type of disaster occurrence. One other important factor is that the data, because of the nature of the Natural

Table 21NUMBER OF DISASTERS , 1968-71

<u>Year</u>	<u>No. of Disasters</u>
1968	48
1969	33
1970	31
1971	31
TOTAL	<u>143</u>

(Dworkin, 1974)

Table 22NUMBER OF LARGE-AREA DISASTERS BY REGION, 1968-71

USA	11
Asia	12
Latin America	4
Africa	1
Europe	1
Australia	1
TOTAL	<u>30</u>

(Dworkin, 1974)

Table 23NUMBER OF LARGE-AREA DISASTERS BY TYPE, 1968-71

Earthquake	3
Flood	9
Hurricane, typhoon, cyclone	10
Tornado	3
Heatwave	1
Rainstorm	3
Snowstorm	1
TOTAL	<u>30</u>

(Dworkin, 1974)

Hazard Group as a research group is analysed by the group for research purposes.

Summary of Organisations Producing Data on Disaster Occurrences

It is possible to tabulate the foregoing discussion. Thus:

1. UNESCO

- a. A list of disaster occurrences in the form of an annual summary is produced.
- b. The definition of disasters is wide and includes areas of no population.
- c. The data has a heavy emphasis on geophysical events.

2. ECOSOC

- a. The data is based on secondary sources, principally the League of Red Cross Societies.
- b. The data presupposes the existence of a population and its associated infrastructure.
- c. The underdeveloped sector of the world figures prominently in the data.

3. UNDRO

- a. The data presupposes the existence of population and its associated infrastructure.
- b. The data has been collected for a long period.
- c. The more underdeveloped sectors of the world and specific disaster types such as flood figure prominently as the time period is shortened.

4. USAID

- a. The data presupposes the existence of population and its

associated infrastructure.

- b. The data is comprehensive and detailed.
- c. The vague definitions which give the organisation great scope in its choice of emergency aid inputs are reflected in the data which shows a distinct bias to areas of heavy American investment or heavy American political involvement or both.

5. The Voluntary Organisations

- a. The data, in general, is similar to that produced by UNDRO.
- b. The data produced by the Catholic Relief Services reflects the interests of the Christian organisations by emphasizing Christian spheres of influence in the underdeveloped world, e.g. Latin America.
- c. The inclusion of data from the League of Red Cross Societies offsets the bias of the explicitly Christian charities.
- d. The emphasis of the Disaster Emergency Committee data is on the need to raise money and therefore not many appeals are made in case donations fall off. The appeals are a reflection of the picture of suffering portrayed by the media.
- e. The data presupposes the existence of a population and its associated infrastructure.

6. The Smithsonian Institution

- a. The data is in the form of an annual catalogue of short-lived phenomena.
- b. The organisation is American and most of its examples are American.

- c. It has a limited scope because it concentrates on short-lived phenomena which excludes drought and famine and it does not presuppose the existence of human population and its associated infrastructure.

7. Natural Hazard Research Group

- a. The data is comprehensive and covers a longer period than most.
- b. The data presupposes the existence of a population and its associated infrastructure.
- c. The main source materials are American and this is reflected in the emphasis apparent in both disaster regions and disaster types.

In all cases the organisations remained true to their definitions of role as outlined in the first section of this Chapter, except for the Natural Hazard Research Group whose methodological base as a research institution is too broad to be confined within definitions of actual disaster involvement. One may conclude from this survey that definitions of role in disaster reflect the organisations' individual specific interest and involvement in the disaster field. This is true not only of the explicit, written definitions of the organisations, but is also observable from the data which they produce reflecting their particular involvement in terms of the regional occurrence of disaster and the disaster types.

The survey and analysis of the data-producing organisations provides the following conclusions:

1. There is no common definition of disaster, no universal scale of disaster measurement and consequently little compatibility

between the data.

2. There is little or no accounting for disaster losses.
3. The data contains a bias which results from its specific definition of role in a disaster.
4. The best and most reliable available data is that produced by UNDRO and the Natural Hazard Research Group. USAID produce the most comprehensive data but the internal and external consistency of the data is much in question.

It is clear from this analysis that there is no single way in which to consider disasters. There is no apparent common, broadly-based definition of disaster implicit in the organisations involved in disaster which would allow explanation of the disaster process. Instead there are a number of differing definitions which reflect the interests of the organisations producing those definitions. To understand more clearly the process involved it is necessary to investigate the trends that are observable from the data.