

List of Working Group III AR5 typographical errors in the Summary for Policymakers

Handled in accordance with *the IPCC Protocol for addressing possible errors in the IPCC Assessment Reports, Synthesis Reports, Special Reports and Methodology Reports* (the Error Protocol: http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/ipcc-principles/ipcc_error_protocol.pdf), in particular Section 2, Step 4A.

Page 6, footnote 6: The reference in bracket, “[3.9.6, Box TS.5, Annex II.2.9, WGI SPM]”, should read “[3.9.6, Box TS.5, Annex II.9, WGI SPM]”

Page 7, last paragraph, lines 1-3: “(Figure SPM.2)” should be deleted since the relevant figure had been excluded from the SPM during the approval process.

Page 10, Footnote 15: “550 ppm CO₂eq” should read “about 550 ppm CO₂eq”

Page 12, Table SPM.1

Column 1, first row: The unit “ppm” should be added to “(CO₂eq)” to read “[ppm CO₂eq]”

Column 9, last two rows: The two cells should not be separated.

Column 10, last row: The uncertainty qualifier, “*Unlikely*²⁶”, should read “*Unlikely*¹¹”

Footnote 1: “The 10 – 90th percentile” should read “the 10th – 90th percentile”.

Footnote 6: “The assumed 90th percentile uncertainty range of the TCR for MAGICC is 1.2 – 2.6°C (median 1.8°C). This compares to the 90th percentile range of TCR between 1.2–2.4°C for CMIP5 (WGI 9.7)” should read “The assumed 90% range of the TCR for MAGICC is 1.2 – 2.6°C (median 1.8°C). This compares to the 90% range of TCR between 1.2–2.4°C for CMIP5 (WGI 9.7)”

Footnote 9: “The CO₂-equivalent concentration includes the forcing of all GHGs including halogenated gases and tropospheric ozone, aerosols and albedo change” should read “The CO₂-equivalent concentration includes the forcing of all GHGs including halogenated gases and tropospheric ozone as well as aerosols and albedo change”

Page 13, 1st paragraph, Lines 6-11: “In scenarios reaching 500 ppm CO₂eq by 2100, 2050 emissions levels are 25 % to 55 % lower than in 2010 globally. In scenarios reaching 550 ppm CO₂eq, emissions in 2050 are from 5 % above 2010 levels to 45 % below 2010 levels globally (Table SPM.1). At the global level, scenarios reaching 450 ppm CO₂eq are also characterized by more rapid improvements of energy efficiency” should read “In scenarios reaching about 500 ppm CO₂eq by 2100, 2050 emissions levels are 25 % to 55 % lower than in 2010 globally. In scenarios reaching about 550 ppm CO₂eq, emissions in 2050 are from 5 % above 2010 levels to 45 % below 2010 levels globally (Table SPM.1). At the global level, scenarios reaching about 450 ppm CO₂eq are also characterized by more rapid improvements of energy efficiency”

Page 13, 2nd paragraph, Lines 1-2: “as do many scenarios reaching about 500 ppm to 550 ppm CO₂eq in 2100.” should read “as do many scenarios reaching about 500 ppm to about 550 ppm CO₂eq in 2100.”

Page 13, 3rd paragraph, lines 1-4: “At least *as likely as not*” should read “at least *about as likely as not*”. Also “(2100 concentrations of about 450 and about 500 ppm CO₂eq)” should read “(2100 concentrations of about 450 to about 500 ppm CO₂eq)”

Page 13, last paragraph, lines 4-6: “At least *as likely as not*” should read “at least *about as likely as not*”. Also “(2100 concentrations between about 450 and 500 ppm CO₂eq)” should read “(2100 concentrations of about 450 to about 500 ppm CO₂eq)”.

Page 14, 1st paragraph, lines 2-4: “*as likely as not*” should read “*about as likely as not*”.

Page 16, Caption Table SPM.2, lines 6-7: “(430 – 530 ppm CO₂eq and 530 – 650 CO₂eq)” should read “(430 – 530 ppm CO₂eq and 530 – 650 ppm CO₂eq)”

Page 17, 2nd paragraph, lines 1-4: “Mitigation scenarios reaching about 450 or 500 ppm CO₂eq” should read “Mitigation scenarios reaching about 450 to about 500 ppm CO₂eq”

Page 17, caption Figure SPM.6, lines 1-3: “which are consistent with reaching about 450 to 500 (430– 530) ppm CO₂eq concentrations by 2100.” should read “which are consistent with reaching about 450 to about 500 (430– 530) ppm CO₂eq concentrations by 2100.”

Page 18, 2nd paragraph, lines 4-6: “atmospheric concentrations of about 450 to 550 ppm CO₂eq.” should read “atmospheric concentrations of about 450 to about 550 ppm CO₂eq.”

Page 19, caption Figure SPM.7, lines 3-4: “Note that many models cannot reach 450 ppm CO₂eq concentration by 2100 in the absence of CCS” should read “Note that many models cannot reach about 450 ppm CO₂eq concentration by 2100 in the absence of CCS”

Page 21, 1st paragraph, lines 1-3: “atmospheric CO₂eq concentrations of about 450 or 500 ppm by 2100” should read “atmospheric CO₂eq concentrations of about 450 to about 500 ppm by 2100”